Interview Summary	09/661,778	Kishi et al.
	Examiner Clark F. Dex	Art Unit ter 3724
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Mr. Richard Elias	(3)	
(2) Mr. Clark Dexter		
Date of Interview Sep 16, 2002	_	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's re	presentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, br	ief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2		
Identification of prior art discussed: None		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)□ was not rea	ched. h) 🛛 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was	agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Discussed the rejections under 35 USC 112. In particular	, language was discu	ssed to provide necessary structural
cooperation between claimed features as well as clarifying	g the relationship bety	veen the claimed self-propelled cutting unit
with respect to the cutter and the switching device.		
	4994	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the su	bstance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). ROM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to the last Office action has / DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
		CLARK F. DEXTER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3724
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is		COLA ST
an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)